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______________________________
WASHINGTON - The State Department will cast a spotlight next week on the 1967 Israeli attack on the U.S. spy ship Liberty where 34 American servicemen were killed.

Israeli, Arab, British and Canadian diplomats have been invited to attend a conference Monday and Tuesday at the department's Henderson auditorium.

A. Jay Cristol, a former U.S. bankruptcy court judge who has written about the incident, will be a featured speaker.

The two-day conference involves the release of historical research on the 1967 Arab-Israeli war.

David Saterfield, a deputy assistant secretary of state, will deliver the keynote address.

Critics of Israel have cited the attack on the Liberty in questioning strong U.S. support of Israel and Israel's allegiance to the United States.

Last October, a former U.S. Navy attorney who helped lead a military investigation into the incident said in a signed affidavit that then-President Lyndon Johnson and his defense secretary, Robert McNamara, ordered that the inquiry conclude the incident was an accident.

Retired Capt. Ward Boston said Johnson and McNamara told those heading the Navy's inquiry to "conclude that the attack was a case of 'mistaken identity' despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary."

Boston said he felt compelled to "share the truth" following the publication of Cristol's book, "The Liberty Incident," which said the attack was unintentional.

The USS Liberty was an electronic intelligence-gathering ship cruising international waters off the Egyptian coast on June 8, 1967. Israeli planes and torpedo boats opened fire on the Liberty in the midst of what became known as the Israeli-Arab Six-Day War.

In addition to the 34 Americans killed, more than 170 were wounded.

Israel has long maintained that the attack was a case of mistaken identity, an explanation that the Johnson administration did not formally challenge. Israel claimed its forces thought the ship was an Egyptian vessel and apologized to the United States.

After the attack, a Navy court of inquiry concluded there was insufficient information to make a judgment about why Israel attacked the ship, stopping short of assigning blame or determining whether it was an accident.
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From page A1.

Casinos and tribal governments are collecting money, which has yet to be calculated. The largest single contribution comes from the Mohegan Tribe of Connecticut with $3 million. The Rumsey Rancheria contributed $200,000; $100,000 from the Prairie Band...

Strategies: a united front

From page A1.

The recent high court decisions hacking away at tribal sovereignty are Nevada vs. Hicks decision, granting authority to state police to enter tribal land and Atkinson Trading Co. vs. Shirley, stating the Navajo Nation lacks the right to collect its tourism tax from Cameron Trading Post in Arizona.

Tribal leaders are drafting legislation destined for Congress early next year. They are also identifying a tribal leaders steering committee.

During his address to the gathering, Sen. Daniel K. Inouye, D-Hawaii, chairman of the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs, expressed support, urging the tribes to study each case thoroughly to determine the implications of the Supreme Court in making the decisions.

Sen. Inouye assured tribes no one on Capitol Hill could tell the tribes what to do or how to do it.

In Washington, as Native people throughout the world watched the grand destruction at the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, American Indian leaders were reminded that time is short and urged them to grasp the challenge.

I have the group there once was a time when tribal leaders took this type of initiative and earnestly implemented it." President Begaye said.

With that, I also emphasized the need for a united front by the Indian nations. Without these two very important principles, it will be difficult for individual Indian nations to fully address these issues.

Yazzie said President Begaye, the Navajo Nation Council's Judiciary Committee and the Navajo high court are committed to the task of reaffirming constitutional recognition of tribal sovereignty and jurisdiction.

Besides ruling against the Navajo Nation's right to tax a non-Indian business in the Atkinson case, in the Nevada ruling, the U.S. Supreme Court, declared state police officers may lawfully invade the territorial jurisdiction of Indian nations, the tribe said.

The Navajo Nation argues Indian nations are not merely social clubs or associations vulnerable to political intrusions, rather, they are a recognized government with inherent sovereignty.

The Navajo Nation encouraged all Indian nations to unite as one to speak with one voice, to advocate for true recognition of inherent sovereignty by the United States Congress.

"American Indian leaders said a congressional amendment is needed to 18 USC 1151 to make it clear that Indian nations have jurisdiction over events inside and outside of tribal territory, including the Indian Child Welfare Act.

While there is a general reluctance on the part of federal courts to recognize American Indian nations' inherent right to exercise criminal jurisdiction over nonmembers committing crimes in Indian country, this needs to change," the Navajo Nation said.
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January 6, 2004

Mr. Karl Rove
Senior Advisor to the President
The White House
Washington, DC 20502

Dear Karl:

You may recall that at the Bohemian Grove we discussed the work of the organization that I founded and currently chair called The Teaching Commission. The focus of The Commission, which is comprised of prominent business, education and former government leaders, is the crisis of teacher quality in our nation's public schools. Gaynor McCown, Executive Director of The Teaching Commission, and I recently met with Margaret Spellings to give her an overview of our work.

On January 14, 2004, we are scheduled to have a press conference at the National Chamber of Commerce in Washington, DC at which we will release a series of specific recommendations around the issue of recruitment and retention of high quality teachers. Teacher quality is the nation's biggest education concern and will be a huge priority for communities as the teacher quality requirements of No Child Left Behind kick in later this year.

Prior to this press conference, I'd be happy to give you a briefing on the specifics of our report. This issue is obviously a critical component of No Child Left Behind, President Bush's signature legislation, and I want to be sure you are aware of our efforts.

I recognize that you have many other important priorities, but I wanted to draw your attention to this effort. We believe its findings are important and can help advance the Administration's -- and the nation's -- efforts to strengthen the quality of schooling for all young people.

Please call me at (b)(6) if you would like to discuss this further.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Louis V. Gerstner, Jr.
Fax

To: Karl Rove, Senior Advisor  From: Louis V. Gerstner, Jr.
Fax: 1-202-456-0191  Pages: 2 including cover sheet
Phone:  Date: 1/6/2004
Re: Copy of Letter  CC:

☐ Urgent  ☐ For Review  ☐ Please Comment  ☐ Please Reply  ☐ Please Recycle
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date: 10/04

To: Barry Jackson
From: Strategic Initiatives Bf. Geenberg

FYI
Appropriate Action
Direct Response
Prepare Response For My Signature
Per Our Conversation
Let's Discuss
Per Your Request
Please Return
Deadline
Other

Comments: Sugar
Shady Bend Farm
Grain — Sugarbeets
W. ROSS BROWN
BATHGATE, NORTH DAKOTA 58216

Dec. 12, 2003

Dear Sir:

I have grown sugarbeets on our farm for 35 years. When asked
"what do you raise on your farm in the Red River Valley?" My answer
is always the same, the grain crops keep us busy and the sugarbeets pay
the bills, so lets not trade sugar
crews.

My three sons are all farmers
and their future depends on the beet
crop. The economy of the Red River
Valley depends on the beet crop.

Thanks so much!!

W. Ross Brown
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## CABINET REQUESTED TRAVEL (Since October 3, 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CABINET SECRETARY</th>
<th>Snow</th>
<th>Paige</th>
<th>Ridge</th>
<th>Evans</th>
<th>Leavitt</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Chao</th>
<th>Abraham</th>
<th>Principi</th>
<th>Thompson</th>
<th>Veneman</th>
<th>HUD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Requests</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests Filled (since 10/3/03)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Filled</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests Outstanding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Snow Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/ SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) AZ Message event – 11/11/03
2) NM Message event – 11/12/03
3) MO Message event – 12/4/03
4) FL Message events – 2/5/04-2/10/04

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) OR/WA Message event (Bus Tour) – March
2) NH Message event – March
3) ME Message event
4) AR Message event – 12/5/03 trip was cancelled, rescheduling
5) IA Message event
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Ridge Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/ SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) CA Message event for fires – 10/31/03
2) MI Message event – 1/9/04

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) AR Message event with First Responders in Ft. Smith
2) AZ Message
3) CT Message event for Simmons (CT-02)
4) ME Message
5) NH Message
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Thompson Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED REQUESTS
1) FL Message event (C200 Luncheon) - 10/10/03
2) WI Message event – 12/18/03

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) OH Message event (Cleveland Academy of Medicine) – 3/26/04 or 4/15/04
2) AZ Message event – planning West Coast swing
3) CA Message event – West Coast swing
4) NM Message event – West Coast swing
5) NH Victory and Message event
6) Max Burns (GA-12) – 2/29/04 (T)
7) Lisa Murkowski (AK Senate) DC FR

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) CORE Dinner in New York
2) Phil Gingrey (GA-11)
3) Chris Chocola (IN-02)
4) John Hostettler (IN-08)
5) Matt Blunt (MO Governor)
6) Jim Gerlach (PA-18)
7) George Nethercutt (WA Senate)- maybe do with W Coast Swing
8) Shelly Moore Capito (WV-02)
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Abraham Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) WV GOP FR – 10/27/03
2) DC FR for Max Burns (GA-12) – 12/16/03
3) DC BC 04 FR – 1/19/04

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) IA Message event
2) NH Message event
3) OH Message event
4) PA Message event
5) WV Message event
6) LA Message event
7) Jim Bunning (KY Senate)
8) Lisa Murkowski (AK Senate)
9) John Hostettler (IN-08)
10) Matt Blunt (MO Governor)
11) Heather Wilson (NM-01)
12) Steve Pearce (NM-02)
13) Phil Gingrey (GA-11)
14) Shelly Moore Capito (WV-02)
15) Tom Cole (OK-04)
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Evans Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/ SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) Message event in Minneapolis, MN (Chamber of Commerce) – 11/16/03
2) DC BC 04 FR – 11/12/03
3) BC 04 FR in Philadelphia – 11/13/03
4) Message event in Miami, FL – 11/19/03
5) BC 04 FR in Owensboro, KY – 12/8/03
6) BC 04 FR in Bowling Green, KY – 12/8/03
7) Message event and State GOP event in Manchester, NH – 1/8/04
8) BC 04 FR in Vermont – 1/8/04

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) NY BC 04 FR
2) IA Victory and Message event – 1/26/04 (T)
3) WI Message event – 1/26/04 (T)
4) MI Victory and Message event – 2/26/04 (T)
5) OH Message event
6) Anne Northup (KY-06) FR – possibly 2/6/04
7) Phil Gingrey (GA-11)
8) Jim Gerlach (PA-06)

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) ID BC 04 FR in Boise
2) OK BC 04 FR in Tulsa
3) LA GOP
4) PA Message event in Pittsburgh
5) John Hostetter (IN-08)
6) Kit Bond (MO Senate)
7) Mat Blunt (MO Governor)
8) Jeb Bradley (NH-01)
9) George Nethercutt (WA Senate) DC FR
10) Bob Beauprez (CO-07)
11) Lisa Murkowski (AK Senate) DC FR
12) Max Burns (GA-12)
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: HUD Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED REQUESTS
1) Martinez FR for Pete Domenici – 11/18/03
2) Martinez BC 04 FR – 12/10/03

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) Alphonso Jackson at IA Caucus – 1/19/04 (T)
2) Alphonso Jackson NV Message event – January

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) FL Message event
2) NM Message event
3) OR Message event
4) WA Message event
5) WI Message event
6) WV Message event
7) NVGOP
8) Rick Renzi (AZ-01)
9) Robin Hayes (NC-08)
10) Heather Wilson (NM-01)
11) Steve Pearce (NM-02)
12) Jon Porter (NV-03)
13) Lisa Murkowski (AK Senate)
14) Rob Simmons (CT-02)
15) Jim Bunning (KY Senate)
16) Henry Bonilla (TX-23)
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Administrator Leavitt Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED REQUESTS
1) OH Message event – 12/16/03
2) MO Message event - 12/17/03

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) AK Message event (AK Support Industry Alliance) – requesting for January 21st
2) NV Message event (MO River)
3) OR Message event
4) WA Message event
5) PA Message event
6) FL Message event
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Mineta Surrogate Travel

REQUESTED/COMPLETED REQUESTS
1) AR Message events in Ft. Smith and Little Rock – 12/15/03
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Norton Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED REQUESTS
1) NM Message event (Joint Stockmen Convention) – 12/5/03
2) Message event with Heather Wilson (NM-01) – 12/5/03
3) NV Message event (CO River Users Association) – 12/11/03

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) AK Message event (Iditarod Trail Sled Dog Race) – 3/6/03 (T)
2) Lisa Murkowski (AK Senate) – possibly do with AK trip in March

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) AK FR for BC ’04
2) OR Message event
3) WA Message event
4) FL Message event (Tampa)
5) Max Burns (GA-12)
6) Steve Pearce (NM-02)
7) George Nethercutt (WA Senate)
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Paige Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/ SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) Message event for Rick Renzi (AZ-01) – 10/24/03
2) FR for Max Burns (GA-12) – 11/10/03
3) WI Message event (School Choice Conference in Racine) – 11/14/03
4) PA Message event in Philadelphia (American Council on Teaching Foreign Language) – 11/21/03
5) MO Message event – 12/16/03

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) NH Message event – 1/12/13 (T)
2) IA Message event – 2/18/04 (T)
3) NM Message event – invited to speak at Chamber in April
4) Mike Rogers (AL-03) - February
5) Robin Hayes (NC-08) - February
6) Anne Northup (KY-03) - February

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) MN Message event
2) Heather Wilson (NM-02)
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Principi Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/ SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) Message and FR for Lisa Murkowski (AK Senate) in AK – 12/16/03
2) NH AUSA event – 1/21/04

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) AZ Message event – 1/29/04 (T)
2) CA Message event – 1/30/04 (T)
3) Message event for Jim Bunning (KY senate) – 2/11/04 (T)

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) AR Message event
2) NV Message event
3) IA Message event
4) MO Message event
5) Rick Renzi (AZ-01)
6) Bob Beauprez (CO-07)
7) Max Burns (GA-12)
8) Matt Blunt (MO Governor)
9) Richard Burr (NC-07)
10) Randy Forbes (VA-04)
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove  
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Ridge Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/ SCHEDULED REQUESTS
   1) CA Message event for fires – 10/31/03
   2) MI Message event – 1/9/04

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
   1) AR Message event with First Responders in Ft. Smith
   2) AZ Message
   3) CT Message event for Simmons (CT-02)
   4) ME Message
   5) NH Message
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove
    Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Snow Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/ SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) AZ Message event – 11/11/03
2) NM Message event – 11/12/03
3) MO Message event – 12/4/03
4) FL Message events – 2/5/04-2/10/04

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) OR/WA Message event (Bus Tour) – March
2) NH Message event – March
3) ME Message event
4) AR Message event – 12/5/03 trip was cancelled, rescheduling
5) IA Message event
MEMORANDUM

January 5, 2004

TO: Karl Rove  
Matt Schlapp

FROM: Meredith Terpeluk

RE: Secretary Veneman Surrogate Travel

COMPLETED/SCHEDULED REQUESTS
1) IA Message event -10/16/03
2) IA Message event (Ames) – 1/13/04

REQUESTS AGREED TO DO
1) AZ Message event – January for Cattleman Convention
2) CA Message event – February
3) Mike Rogers (AL-03)
4) AK event with Lisa Murkowski (AK Senate) – early May
5) Phil Gingrey (GA-11)

OUTSTANDING REQUESTS
1) Bev Kilmer (FL-02) – requesting January
2) ME Message event (Bangor)
3) PA Message event (Scranton)
4) Rick Renzi (AZ-01)
5) John Hostettler (IN-08)
6) Jim Bunning (KY Senate)
7) Steve Pearce (NM-02)
8) Richard Burr (NC-06)
i think i forgot to forward this to you, per margaret's instructions on monday.

See below for more explanation. Karl had deferred to margaret on a presidential invite to appear at a forum on health policy. Here was my response to her when she asked my advice. We looked into it and found that it was an invite for which all presidential candidates had been invited, and i recommended it be forwarded to the campaign.

If you want more information on this group or their forums, don't hesitate to call me. thx

-----Original Message-----
From: Spellings, Margaret M.
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 11:36 AM
To: Gilbert, Alan
Subject: RE: National Health Policy Council invite for potus

going right -- tell susan r.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gilbert, Alan
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 10:38 AM
To: Spellings, Margaret M.
Cc: Schiff, Eleanor
Subject: National Health Policy Council invite for potus

you provided me with a copy of an invite for potus, and asked my advise -- karl had deferred to you on the question of participation in one of three forums, either in manchester, NH; Edmond, OK; or Milwaukee, WI.

It wasn't clear in the letter of invite what the forum was, but it is my understanding after some research that these are being hosted as part of the presidential election and presidential candidates or their surrogates have been invited. In past presidential election years, the National Health Policy Council has held similar forums.

Because this appears to be an invite to appear in a forum with other presidential candidates or surrogates, i believe this invite should be referred to the campaign. Call me if you have other questions about this group or the invite.
EVENT: speak at the National Health Policy Forum in Manchester, New Hampshire, Edmond, Oklahoma or Milwaukee, Wisconsin

EVENT DATE: January 23, 28 or February 15, 2004

INVITATION TRACKING SHEET*
Office of the Director of Appointments and Scheduling
Bradley A. Blakeman

TODAY'S DATE: Wednesday, December 17, 2003
TO: Andi Ball
    Ruben Barrales
    Dan Bartlett
    Joshua Bolten
    John Bridgeland
    Secretary Card
    Stephen Friedman
    Justice Gonzales

Joseph Hagin
David Hobbs
Elizabeth Kleppe
Jay Lefkowitz
Scott McClellan
Admiral Miller
Brian Montgomery
Condoleezza Rice
Karl Rove/Israel Hernandez
Matthew Schlapp
Margaret Spellings/Tracy Young
James Towey
Lezlee Westine
January Zell

ASAP

COMMENTS DUE BY: Wednesday, December 24, 2003

Note: Please return this form with your recommendations within 5 working days or we will assume that this invitation should be regretted.

☐ Accept Invitation/Meeting Request (Schedule Proposal Attached)
   Comments:

☐ Consider in Long Range Meeting
   Comments:

☐ Regret Invitation/Meeting Request
   Comments:

☐ Recommend Surrogate
   Name(s):

*Please return to Bonny-Kate Marshall and Ashley Selph, 1800 G Street, 9th Floor
Dear Mr. Blakeman:

On behalf of the National Health Policy Council (NPHC) I would like to invite President Bush or his surrogate to speak at one or all of the following National Health Policy Forums.

**Forum Dates and Locations:**
- **Friday, January 23, 2004:** Manchester Holiday Inn, Manchester, New Hampshire
- **Wednesday, January 28, 2004:** University of Central Oklahoma, Edmond, Oklahoma
- **Sunday, February 15, 2004:** University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

The President would have one hour to address the audience and we would be honored to have him attend the events.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Richard Boxer, MD
Chair
National Health Policy Council
Withdrawal Marker
The George W. Bush Library
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American Jewish Historical Society
15 West 16th Street
New York, NY 10011
Phone: 212-294-6166
Fax: 212-294-6161

Fax

To: Mr. Tevi Troy, Special Assistant to the President
From: Michael Feldberg
Fax: 202-456-2020 Pages: 2
Phone:
Date: 1/6/04
Re:

Comments: Please see attached.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date: 1/8/04

To: Tevi Troy
From: Strategic Initiatives BJ Goergen

X FYI

--- Appropriate Action
--- Direct Response
--- Prepare Response For My Signature
--- Per Our Conversation
--- Let's Discuss
--- Per Your Request
--- Please Return
--- Deadline
--- Other

Comments:

---
January 6, 2004

Mr. Tevi Troy
Special Assistant to the President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20500

Dear Mr. Troy:

At the request of Ken Bialkin, the chairman of the American Jewish Historical Society, I am pleased to send you the enclosed copies of "Heritage," the Society's newsletter. I would like particularly to call your attention to the story on page 22 describing our role as a partner in the Congressionally-authorized Commission to Commemorate 350 Years of American Jewish History and the story on page 24 regarding Teddy Roosevelt's response to the 250th Anniversary of American Jewish life.

Under separate cover, I have asked that a set of our American Jewish baseball cards be sent to you with our compliments. I'm sure you will enjoy them.

Best wishes for a healthy and peaceful New Year.

Sincerely,

Michael Feldberg, Ph.D.
Executive Director

Cc: Kenneth J. Bialkin, chairman
Sidney Lapidus, president
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add to call list

-----Original Message-----
From: Wehner, Peter H.
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 2:07 PM
To: Rove, Karl C.
Cc: Goergen, Barbara J.; Ralston, Susan B.
Subject: question

Given where things now stand re: FMA, would you still like me to chat w/ Weigel & Novak? I'm happy to; but it may not be necessary given how we're going now planning to make our case. Let me know either way. Thanks.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM FOR: KARL ROVE
SENIOR ADVISOR TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: TIM GOEGLEIN
DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC LIAISON

MATT SMITH
ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC LIAISON

DATE: MONDAY, JANUARY 12, 2004

RE: LUNCH WITH BILL KRISTOL AND GUESTS

Time/Location: 12:45 p.m. / The 600 Restaurant (600 New Hampshire Avenue, NW)

Invited attendees: Bill Kristol – Weekly Standard
William Bennett – Empower America
Charles Krauthammer – Washington Post
Jeff Bell – Capital City Partners

Potential Topics: Immigration announcement
Budget and spending
Campaign 2004
State of the Union
Post-Saddam capture Iraq strategy
From a source that was there, no criticism of the President/White House at the press conference this morning on the proclamation stuff.

Here is the press release that is now on the wire. Note the line about the President.

Coalition Representing Over 25 Million People Announces: Defend Marriage and Americans Will Defend You

10/2/03 9:36:00 AM

To: National Desk

Contact: Rebecca L. Riggs, 202-488-7000 ext. 126, or Kelly Walmsley, 703-683-5004 ext. 140, both for Concerned Women for America; http://media.cwfa.org

WASHINGTON, Oct. 2 /U.S. Newswire/ -- "Americans cannot defend politicians who will not defend marriage," said Sandy Rios, president of Concerned Women for America, at a news conference today announcing Marriage Protection Week, Oct. 12 -- 18. "Marriage is the support beam of a civilized society. If politicians are unwilling to fight for that foundation, the American people will remove them from public office."

The Coalition to Protect Marriage announced at a press conference today a nationwide campaign to invite over 25 million voters to join activities to promote marriage. Member groups will work together to pass a federal marriage amendment and reach out to the public through radio broadcasts and local meetings, and provide tools for action at http://www.MarriageProtectionWeek.com.

"If Congress can turn on a dime to respond to the public outcry for a 'Do-Not-Call List,' then surely they can act immediately to protect society's foundation," Rios said. "We expect them to do so."

President George W. Bush officially declared October 12 -- 18 as Marriage Protection Week.

"Marriage is indispensable to the health of our families, our communities and our nation," said Rios. "Right now, thousands of men and women in our armed forces are in harm's way, protecting us all from outside threats. We owe them our gratitude. And we also owe them our commitment to preserve the American way of life for which they are risking their lives. We are so grateful that President Bush has chosen to act by standing with us in support for marriage as the union of one man and one woman."
The Coalition to Protect Marriage:

American Association of Christian Schools
American Cause
American Family Association
American Values
Bott Broadcasting
Christian Coalition
Citizens for Community Values
Concerned Women for America
Eagle Forum
Faith2Action
Family Research Council
Focus on the Family
Free Congress Foundation
Gideon Christian Fellowship Church
Home School Legal Defense Fund
INSP TV Network
National Religious Broadcasters
Prison Fellowship
Prison Fellowship/Wilberforce Forum
Religious Freedom Coalition
Samaritan's Purse
Southern Baptist Convention
Thomas Road Baptist Church
WORLD Magazine

10/2/2003
Below are Tony Perkins', new President of FRC, remarks...they are the only ones on the internet.

WASHINGTON D.C. -- Family Research Council President Tony Perkins issued the following remarks at the Marriage Protection Week press conference in Washington Thursday morning:

"We're here this morning because we believe marriage; the very foundation of our nation is in danger. The Supreme Court of Vermont has imposed a counterfeit form of marriage on that state against the will of its people. The Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts is poised to force the recognition of same-sex marriage on the people of that state as well. Such a ruling will no doubt open the floodgates of litigation demanding all fifty states recognize homosexual marriages.

"This is NOT happening because the American people want it. It is NOT happening because state legislators are voting for it. It is happening because of the black plague - From the Pledge of Allegiance to the Ten Commandments to the Do Not Call campaign - and NOW to the very institution of marriage - unelected judges in black robes are not only ruling against the wishes of the American people, they are overturning laws passed by the elected representatives of the people.

"The courts are treating marriage as if it were a Mr. Potato Head where individual preferences govern its makeup. Marriage has no interchangeable components - it's between one man and one woman.

"There is only one way to stop this black plague. Our leaders in Congress and the executive branch must take a stand for marriage. To encourage elected officials to do that, Family Research Council today is announcing a "Marriage Protection Pledge" which we will be asking every lawmaker at the state and federal level to sign. The pledge defines marriage as the legal union of one man and one woman. We believe this is THE issue of our time and Family Research Council will use every resource at its disposal to ensure pro-family voters know where the candidates stand as they enter the voting booth in 2004."

-30-

Matthew Smith
Associate Director of Public Liaison
The White House
(202) 456-2380 phone
(202) 456-2130 fax
Yes, we had hoped that the President would come to Gettysburg and speak to the country. Karl said he would help us get another speaker. Mr. Rumsfeld or Condy Rice would be both wonderful. If you would let us know who might be available, we would send the formal letter of invitation.

Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ralston, Susan B. [mailto:Susan_B._Ralston@who.eop.gov]
Sent: Tue 9/30/2003 7:05 AM
To: Gabor Boritt
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Gettysburg

Are you asking about Karl or the President? They're both out of the country on 11/19. Were you looking for someone else?

-----Original Message-----
From: Gabor Boritt [mailto:gboritt@gettysburg.edu]
Sent: Monday, September 29, 2003 10:39 PM
To: Ralston, Susan B.
Subject: Gettysburg

Dear Karl, I am writing to get the firm answer about our speaker for the 140th anniversary of the Gettysburg Address on November 19. Thank you very much, Gabor
Rev. Mark Craig
Highland Park United Methodist Church
3300 Mockingbird Lane
Dallas, TX 75205
(214) 521-3111

The Honorable Don Evans
Secretary of Commerce
US Department Of Commerce
900 Whann Avenue
McLean, VA 22101
(202) 482-9043

Mr. Jim Mayfield
Tarrytown United Methodist Church
2601 Exposition Blvd.
Austin, TX 78703
(512) 472-3111

Mrs. Karen Hughes
Message Matters, LLC
PMB 215
3267 Bee Cave Rd., Suite 107
Austin, TX 78746-6700
(512) 327-5060

Rev. Billy Graham
P.O. Box 937
Montreat, NC 28757
(828) 669-5550

Mrs. Barbara Bush

(b)(6)

Add Michael W. Smith.
Goergen, Barbara J.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2003 11:49 PM
To: Goergen, Barbara J.
Subject: Re: Karl Rove

Barbara (B.J.)

The best numbers to reach me are:

(b)(6)
615/503-9899 Office
(b)(6)

Thank you!

Michael W.

-------- Original Message -------------------------------
From: "Goergen, Barbara J." <Barbara.J_Goergen@who.eop.gov>
Date: Thu, 11 Sep 2003 22:47:09 -0400

>Mr. Smith,
>
>Karl Rove would like to call you tomorrow. What is the best contact
>information for us to reach you?
>
>Sincerely,
>
>BJ Goergen
>Office of the Senior Advisor
>The White House
>(202) 456-2369
>
This marker identifies the original location of the withdrawn item listed above. For a complete list of items withdrawn from this folder, see the Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet at the front of the folder.

COLLECTION:
Records Management, White House Office of

SERIES:
Subject Files - FG006-27 (Office of Senior Advisor - Karl Rove)

FOLDER TITLE:
604113 [1]

FRC ID: 9726
OA Num.: 10750
NARA Num.: 10808

RESTRICTION CODES

**Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

**PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).**

**Deed of Gift Restrictions**

- A. Closed by Executive Order 13526 governing access to national security information.
- B. Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(5) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(5) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

**Records Not Subject to FOIA**

- Court Sealed - The document is withheld under a court seal and is not subject to the Freedom of Information Act.

---

This Document was withdrawn on 12/22/2015 by DRS
This marker identifies the original location of the withdrawn item listed above. For a complete list of items withdrawn from this folder, see the Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet at the front of the folder.

COLLECTION:
Records Management, White House Office of

SERIES:
Subject Files - FG006-27 (Office of Senior Advisor - Karl Rove)

FOLDER TITLE:
604113

FRC ID:
9726

OA Num.:
10750

NARA Num.:
10808

FOIA IDs and Segments:
2015-0036-F

**RESTRICITION CODES**

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

Deed of Gift Restrictions

A. Closed by Executive Order 13526 governing access to national security information.
B. Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Records Not Subject to FOIA

Court Sealed - The document is withheld under a court seal and is not subject to the Freedom of Information Act.
Email
Fw: Aderholt - To: Barbara J. Goergen - From: Karl Rove

This marker identifies the original location of the withdrawn item listed above.
For a complete list of items withdrawn from this folder, see the Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet at the front of the folder.

COLLECTION:
Records Management, White House Office of

SERIES:
Subject Files - FG006-27 (Office of Senior Advisor - Karl Rove)

FOLDER TITLE:
604113 [1]

FRC ID:
9726

OA Num.:
10750

NARA Num.:
10808

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advise between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

Deed of Gift Restrictions

A. Closed by Executive Order 13526 governing access to national security information.
B. Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor’s deed of gift.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(5) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(5) of the FOIA]b(6) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Records Not Subject to FOIA

Court Sealed - The document is withheld under a court seal and is not subject to the Freedom of Information Act.

This Document was withdrawn on 12/22/2015 by DRS
This marker identifies the original location of the withdrawn item listed above. For a complete list of items withdrawn from this folder, see the Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet at the front of the folder.
From: Major F. Andy Messing, Jr., NDCF [ndcf@erols.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 9:27 AM
To: Rove, Karl C.
Subject: Condi

Dear Karl...

After I briefed the President in 1998 on Defense & Foreign Affairs, when he was Gov. of TX, he told me to work with Dr. Rice.... I tried contacting her by phone etc.... she didn't know who I was and never returned my calls....

Now, she is leading with her chin for the President on this Iraq "re-think"..... if she needs a experienced hand, I'm available to help.... as this is my "Bag"( with 27 conflicts under my belt {3 in uniform }).

I have friends in Iraq now ( former Special Forces and State people ) that have been cluing me in on the Macro-Micro situation...so, I am current. As I asked you a month ago to help me go there, then finding out the Administration helped Colonel McGiniess from Family Research Council get there, I figured someone had their reasons and kept quiet. But, now with things getting compressed and the elections coming.... I know I can make a positive contribution to help the President.

Ready to serve, ANDY

10/9/2003
TEXAS SENATOR STEPHEN E. OGDEN

Legislative Agenda - October 2003

SAFETY EMERGENCY

Expedite the environmental review process for "safety emergency" highway construction or maintenance projects that correct or improve hazardous locations on a highway. Direct the Secretary of Transportation to develop and implement a process for the expedited environmental review and approval of "safety emergency" projects. All applicable federal agencies need to follow by issuing regulations providing for the expedited processing of, and issuance of, deviations for such projects.

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS

Reduce unnecessary environmental review delays for certain categories of highway and transportation projects that consistently are found to have no significant impact on the environment, by permitting states to do their own categorical exclusion for these categories of transportation projects. If necessary, federal audit could hold the state responsible for any environmental mitigation. In the alternative, at least require the USDOT to broaden the list of projects under categorical exclusions, give greater authority to the planning process, and implement a coordinated review process.

THE RAPID ACT

Address recently adopted Federal Highway Administration regulations on Design-Build contracting, that are hampering Texas' progress in addressing its mobility needs, by supporting the Reforming, Accelerating & Protecting Interstate Design (RAPID) Act, (HR 2864) by Congressman Michael Burgess. Provisions of the RAPID Act would make it possible for Texas and other donor states to use procurement processes allowed under state law. Recent changes to Texas law include allowing preliminary environmental work to be done as part of an intermodal project contract (highway-rail-utility), and allowing a consultant to complete the environmental work in defined project segments.
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October 6, 2003

I have just returned from a trip to the Arabian Gulf region (Abu Dhabi and Dubai), where our friends express a real sense of urgency that we establish rudimentary free markets and representative democracy in Iraq and turn over authority to the Iraqi people as soon as possible. To that end, I believe Congress should stop nit-picking the administration's funding proposal and authorize it without further delay.

The Bush administration must be doing something right in Iraq as evidenced by the hysterical reporting in the New York Times about the economic reforms there. Times reporter Jeff Madrick characterizes the reforms as extreme, radical "shock therapy." Opening the country to foreign investment and trade, restricting corporate and individual tax rates to 15 percent and tariffs to 5 percent as the Iraqi Coalition Provisional Authority has done is not shock therapy. Shock therapy is what the International Monetary Fund and Ivy League Keynesian economists administered in post-Soviet Russia when essentially we burned down the old economic structure with an ersatz "voucherized" scheme of "privatization," ruble devaluation, inflation and tax increases before a new structure was in place. What's going on in Iraq is reconstructive surgery on an economy and political system that already has been completely razed by war and dictatorship.

Madrick criticizes the Bush administration for adopting "radical laws" without a democratic Iraqi government to discuss or approve them, yet he advocates immediate U.S. imposition of failed and discredited policies that he characterizes as "mainstream," such as high "protective" tariffs and high rates of taxation necessary to support "adequate social programs." "Free markets," he says, "just will not be enough."

To the contrary, the Iraqi CPA makes its most serious mistakes when it abandons the market in favor of central planning. Madrick is correct that the CPA's scheme to create an international banking cartel in Iraq and reopen an Iraqi state-owned bank is a blunder of the first order. The CPA is also making a calamitous mistake by reviving a centralized, state-owned oil industry and sending it off to OPEC to fix world oil prices. And the CPA is risking the entire Iraqi enterprise by insisting that a constitution be adopted before it will allow a representative sovereign government to be established.

Far from racing ahead of the democratic curve and imposing "illegitimate" policies, as Madrick contends, the CPA is being far too timid and trying to avoid making unavoidable choices. We already made the two most fundamental decisions: We will leave the Iraqi people with a democratic republic of some kind; it is up to them to keep it after we leave. And we will leave them a rudimentary free-market capitalist economic system; it is up to them to make it prosper after we are gone.
Having made these decisions, making no further decisions on fundamental economic and political questions in Iraq is not an option. Our challenge is to leave the Iraqi people a working political mechanism through which they can ratify or alter the choices we make as their trustee and guardian during the phase of reconstructive surgery.

For example, we cannot avoid choosing how we will reconstitute the Iraqi oil industry, either as a state-owned enterprise or as a privately owned and operated industry of myriad private joint stock companies. Nothing would launch free-market capitalism faster or more tightly knit together this ethnically and religiously diverse country than giving every Iraqi citizen unencumbered property rights to Iraq's oil.

Privatization of Iraq's oil should be done immediately, not through the half-baked, voucherized auction method that failed so miserably in Russia but along the lines of the fabulously successful Homestead Act in 19th century America where clear title to 160 acres of federal land was "given" outright to citizens. It was, after all, theirs to begin with.

Done right, through a nation-wide census and property registry, the process of bestowing on every Iraqi citizen equal ownership shares in private oil-industry firms, complete with Iraqi CEOs and boards of directors, also would facilitate registering deeds of other property and systematically submitting claims of ownership of property in dispute.

Combining a nationwide census and property registry with voter registration could accelerate elections. After that, it will be possible to establish a representative federal republic based on universal elections of local councils, which in turn would elect regional assemblies, which in turn would elect a national parliament. The sovereignty of such a federal representative republic would immediately be recognized by the United Nations, and that government could write a draft constitution and submit it to the people for ratification.

After visiting the Arabian Gulf again last week I am more convinced than ever that this is the right course to take. The CPA should devote however much money is necessary to organize an immediate census and property registry to register voters, privatize oil, establish property rights and hold elections, not only to save Iraq but also to signal to the rest of the region that beyond Iraq and Afghanistan we also are committed to free-market economic development, open trade and democracy in the entire region.
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Fighting the last monetary war

©2003 Copley News Service
Jack Kemp
5/27/2003

While in Europe over the Memorial Day weekend, where we celebrated the liberation of Holland and the D-Day landing at Normandy, I noticed many newspaper headlines bemoaning the specter of deflation hanging over the European economy.

In my opinion, recession, not deflation, is the problem. The price of gold in euros is bearing above its 10-year moving average, commodity prices are not falling and consumer prices remain above the European Union's target. Today, a euro's value relative to the dollar is $1.1807. That is about the same as it was shortly after the euro's inception, when on Jan. 4, 1999, it set the record high against the dollar of $1.184.

Unfortunately, the threat facing the European economy stems not from deflation but from recession driven by bad governmental policies.

Tax rates are far too high, inflexible labor laws are counterproductive and the welfare state is stifling. Europe's economy did not grow in the first quarter of this year, and there is little evidence of revived growth.

In the United States, Washington and Wall Street are also abuzz with talk of deflation and the so-called deteriorating dollar.

In my opinion, deflation is not a problem today even though it was a severe problem between 1997 and 2001. During that time I tried to draw attention to the issue several times when deflation was causing enormous economic dislocations, both in the United States and abroad. In that time, the dollar appreciated by more than 45 percent, putting tremendous pressure on countries and industries with dollar-denominated debt. The recent 20 percent or so decline in the dollar's trade-weighted exchange rate since early 2002 is a sign that a readjustment is occurring that can help unwind many of the harmful effects of the previous episode of deflation induced by the Federal Reserve Board.

It is important to note that money is not intrinsic wealth; it is a unit of account, a measure of value. Imagine if the bureau of weights and measures changed the 12-inch ruler on a daily basis -- 13 inches one day and 11 inches the next -- but on average the bureau promised to keep it 12 inches in length. That would be preposterous. A currency is a measure of value. It cannot lengthen one day and shrink the next. And, it isn't sufficient that it retain a constant value "on average." "On average" you wouldn't have to wear a winter coat in either Buffalo, N.Y., or Green Bay, Wis.

The same holds true for the exchange rate value of the dollar. The exchange ratio between the dollar and other currencies is a function of what is happening in the economies of those other currencies and it is a function of how the Federal Reserve conducts monetary policy in the United States. We must never forget the Fed has monopoly power over the issuance of currency. Therefore, the Fed has a moral and fiduciary obligation to keep the value of the currency constant.

The problem is, in a world of floating exchange rates the Fed has no reliable benchmark against which to judge whether it is maintaining a constant value of the dollar. All it has available are secondary indicators of the dollar's stability.
By latching onto interest-rate targeting as the mechanism by which the Fed decides how many bonds to buy or sell, the Fed has mistaken cause for effect just as it did in the early 1980s when it latched onto adjusting the "quantity of money" as the means of conducting monetary policy. Of all the secondary indicators of dollar stability, only sensitive commodity prices, gold being the pre-eminent among them, are direct enough and understood well enough to serve well as a gauge by which the Fed can decide whether to buy or sell bonds and how much to expand or contract its portfolio of bonds.

I believe Treasury Secretary John Snow understands very well the necessity of replacing the so-called "strong-dollar policy" with a "stable-dollar policy." After all, we don't want a rising dollar or a falling dollar; we want a stable dollar. As Snow observed, we want the currency to be a good store of value and a currency people are willing to hold over time.

In order to ensure a stable dollar of constant value, it has long been my view that the Fed should announce that it intends to maintain a fixed dollar price of gold, or if gold is politically incorrect, then announce that it will maintain a fixed dollar price of an index of price-sensitive commodities. Then the Fed can conduct monetary policy in such magnitude as necessary, to hit that price rule instead of always fighting the last monetary war.
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<th>STATUS</th>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/26</td>
<td>2:21 p</td>
<td>James Robison</td>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Re: 9/24 fax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Margaret Spellings</td>
<td></td>
<td>6-5595</td>
<td></td>
<td>Re: Judd Gregg, RESPA, and Jack Gullahorn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/6</td>
<td>5:00 p</td>
<td>Marc Racicot</td>
<td></td>
<td>703-413-2334</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Returning your call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Steve Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank for comments on Saturday</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>David Beckwith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Drs. Painter and Parker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>NOTES</th>
<th>MESSAGE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rush Limbaugh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re: conservatives...see Pete's talking points</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26</td>
<td>2:21 p</td>
<td>James Robison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re: 9/24 fax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Haslam</td>
<td></td>
<td>865-588-3801</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Office Cell Call request from OPA to congratulate Bill Haslam on being</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>elected Mayor of Knoxville, Tennessee, on September 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Marc Racicot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Home Cell Call this weekend re Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3</td>
<td></td>
<td>John Binkley</td>
<td></td>
<td>907-479-6673</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Office Cell BC '04 request to thank him for not running</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al Hubbard</td>
<td></td>
<td>317-681-5069</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>BC '04 request to thank him for not running</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>317-257-8927</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3</td>
<td>3:50 p</td>
<td>Ron Brownstein</td>
<td>LA Times</td>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Returned call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3</td>
<td>3:50 p</td>
<td>Jim Billington</td>
<td>Library of</td>
<td>202-207-8174</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Returned call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Congress</td>
<td>office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Steve Forbes - thank for comments on Adraxay
Anita Mattosh 3 out of last 5 years or last 2 out of 5 years?
Dirk Van Doren re Bredemue
Angela Lim (see last comment)
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January 7th, 2003

Mr. Karl Rove
Senior Advisor to the President
West Wing, Second Floor
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20510

202-456-0191 VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Mr. Rove,

Allow me to extend my greetings from your native city of Denver, and I hope that 2004 is another successful year for you. Per the suggestion of our mutual friend Elizabeth Blackney, I am making contact with you for two reasons:

First, I wanted to make you aware of my availability as a Latino Outreach consultant in the upcoming election cycle. I'm interested in supporting the Senate races in Florida and California and in states with high Latino concentrations. I'm also interested in supporting the Bush/Cheney 2004 campaign. For example, I know that I can get several thousand Latino votes in order to win New Mexico for President Bush. I can also help you with Latino outreach nationwide via media, especially Radio and Television as a surrogate Spokesman.

I have already made preliminary contacts at Bush/Cheney 2004 and with Mr. Frank Guerra, but I would like to know if there is anyone else whom you think I should approach. As you will recall from the information that Elizabeth sent you last year, I worked as Director of Strategic Outreach for Senator Allard in 2002. I also served as Spokesman for the Schwarzenegger campaign last Fall. In essence, this Republican Latino commando is ready to apply his campaign battlefield experiences to the next opportunity to advance the values and agenda of the GOP and I would greatly appreciate your input.
Second, I wanted to submit to your attention a proposal that I am confident you will find of interest for the upcoming State of the Union address. As you will recall, my training is in Radio, Television and Film, and the concept I am suggesting will allow you to take this event to a historical new level, presenting President Bush in the best possible light while dramatically increasing the impact and retention value of the televised speech.

If you need references regarding my video expertise, please contact Senator Allard or Congressman Beauprez. Last year, I produced a video for Congressman Beauprez that uses the concept I am proposing. I can also get you a copy of that video if you wish. You may contact me at 720-922-1579 and I thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Juan B. Botero
Senior Consultant

enclosures
"Proposal for a Turbocharged State of the Union Address"
An audiovisual concept proposal to benefit President Bush

Presented to:

Mr. Karl Rove
Senior Advisor to the President
The White House

Presented by:

Juan B. Botero
Senior Consultant
Botero, Nichols & Cohen, Inc.

Denver, Colorado, January 7th, 2004
“Proposal for a Turbocharged State of the Union Address”
An audiovisual concept proposal to benefit President Bush

Summary: This proposal suggests the unprecedented use of pre-edited, video cutaway segments during the President's State of the Union address. These video cutaways would consist of high-concept, high-power visual montages of news footage and/or supporting graphics that would be dissolved to during select portions of the President's speech.

For example, if the President makes reference to the progress of the war on terror in Iraq, the signal would dissolve to a slow-motion montage of:

- Images of Iraqis celebrating in the streets after toppling Saddam statue
- Recently declassified high-tech DOD footage of the capture of Saddam Hussein
- Gestures of support of Iraqis towards US soldiers and/or President Bush
- Signs of economic growth in Iraq such as:
  - People buying cars, clothing, electronics, etc.
  - Advances in schools, infrastructure, hospitals, etc.

Audio: Properly mixed so that they blend in under the President's level, the inclusion of audio "beds" would also increase the impact of the SOU address. For example:

- Audio of cars honking + people celebrating in Iraq
- Iraqi people chanting "Thank you, America" or "Thank you, Mr. Bush"
- When the President makes reference to the recovering economy, the video cutaways could include NATSOUND of the closing bell at Wall Street and people cheering the DOW passing 10,000. We could also show a graphic of the DOWs trend, superimposed over stylized footage of a bull moving in slow-mo.
- Instrumental renditions of "America, the Beautiful" or soft military music.

The use of video cutaways accomplishes the following:

- Dramatically increases the level of impact and retention value of the speech.
- Since it is said that a picture is worth a thousand words, the appropriate use of powerful visuals extends the word count of the President's speech to infinity.
- Reduces the degree of pressure on President Bush as the only source of visual communication during the speech (i.e. non-verbal communication via gestures, body language, facial expressions, etc.)
- Connects the public's TV experience with the President's leadership by creating a link between the images of Iraqi liberation, Saddam's capture on FOX, CNN, etc. with the President's decision to go to war. This blending allows for an intellectual as well as an emotional connect with the President and the success / global magnitude of his decisions.
- This concept enables you to tap into the power of symbolism to underscore the character of the President and the character of America as a light to the nations. For example, by dissolving to a montage of the Statue of Liberty, select monuments of the Founding Fathers, a flying eagle in slow motion, etc. when the President makes reference to the advancement of freedom around the world.
• It elevates the drama and visual impact of the SOU address to a completely new level, allowing the President to make a larger-than-life, powerful case statement. Although it was not a SOU speech, President Kennedy used spy photos of Cuba during his speech to announce the Cuban blockade. My proposal uses a much more advanced technique as a reflection of the video age in which we live.

• The use of these cutaways should not be made known to the Press or the public. For maximum impact, they should find out as the President’s speech airs live. The TV audience (and the Press) will not expect it, and will find it refreshing and powerful. Implicitly, and as a result of the element of surprise, this new format would also project an image of a bold, “cutting edge” President.

Logistics:

1. The segments can be produced, edited, revised and have final approval during the next 2 weeks so that when they are completed (by NLT noon, January 19th), the President can rehearse his speech with the cutaways.

2. This proposal is “zero-risk” because there is enough time to rehearse + conduct internal focus groups for feedback. Technically speaking, the only risk is if the playback deck used to roll the segments has a problem, which is extremely rare. In such a case, the Director would simply go back to the camera covering the President. As a precaution, we could have 2 tapes + 2 VTR decks so that there is a backup + 1 can make sure that they are serviced before the speech.

3. During the speech on January 20th, the Director would have the segments pre-loaded in sequential order and would dissolve to them according to specific cues in the President’s speech. A slow dissolve rate will also create a “blending” or “connecting” effect between the President and what he is making reference to.

4. It’s possible to mix the video signal of the cutaways with the video signal of the President’s teleprompter monitors. This would allow him to continue reading the text over picture for timing purposes and so that he knows when he is off-camera.

5. In order to include the members of Congress in the audiovisual experience, the signal will need to be fed to the building’s AV infrastructure.

6. The television feed needs to be set up so that when it goes to all the media outlets for distribution, they have to carry the full mix of video cutaways and audio beds along with the speech.

Responsibilities:

1. I would like to focus my attention on directing/producing the segments, working in conjunction with the speechwriter or the media liaison you designate.

2. In order to successfully produce these segments, this project would need your immediate and full support so that I can gather the footage to make it happen and secure the highest-possible production value to the final product.

3. The process for the production of the segments would have several approval steps and would have your final approval within 1-2 days before January 20th.

4. Once completed, the segments would be turned over to the team that will cover the speech and the Director would “roll in” the segments at the appropriate time.
Costs: Based upon approval and specific discussions regarding footage content and place of post-production (it would be more cost-effective and easier logistically if I can do the editing in CO), I can submit a budget for your approval.

Suggested Timeline:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-production of segments</td>
<td>January 7th thru 10th - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of Post-Production</td>
<td>January 11th - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery of first draft</td>
<td>January 14th - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions</td>
<td>January 16th - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final draft + Final approval</td>
<td>January 19th - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery for rehearsals</td>
<td>January 19th - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to C-SPAN</td>
<td>January 20th - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>January 21st - 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I look forward to discussing this idea with you in greater depth at your earliest convenience. At the risk of sounding self-serving, I think it is a very exciting concept and I am also confident that you will be very pleased with the final product. Obviously, this concept also sets the stage for the upcoming Presidential race and makes it much harder for the President's opponents to knock a well-packaged, powerful presentation.
URGENT
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From: Juan B. Botero
To: Mr. Karl Rove
FAX #: (202) 456-0191
Date: January 7th, 2004

Total # of pages including cover sheet: 7

Message: Time-sensitive proposal regarding upcoming State of the Union speech. Please review ASAP.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date: 1/2/04

To: Tim Goeglein
From: Strategic Initiatives

FYI
Appropriate Action
Direct Response
Prepare Response For My Signature
Per Our Conversation
Let's Discuss
Per Your Request
Please Return
Deadline
Other

Comments: ____________________________________________

__________________________________________
December 14, 2003

Dear Karl,

I write the day after you have caught the villain. You and your won~ers stiIl.

Thank you for giving me some of your precious time. I will be hearing Mr. Gergeli about the president and commencement, and through him, you.

I will be in touch about the Higher Ed Act.

Congratulations,

[Signature]
To: David Hobbs
From: Strategic Initiatives Karl Rove

☐ FYI
☐ Appropriate Action
☐ Direct Response
☐ Prepare Response For My Signature
☐ Per Our Conversation
☐ Let's Discuss
☐ Per Your Request
☐ Please Return
☐ Deadline
☐ Other

Comments: ____________________________

______________________________

Date: 1/9/04

To: Eric Pelletier
From: Strategic Initiatives Karl Rove

☐ FYI
☐ Appropriate Action
☐ Direct Response
☐ Prepare Response For My Signature
☐ Per Our Conversation
☐ Let's Discuss
☐ Per Your Request
☐ Please Return
☐ Deadline
☐ Other

Comments: ____________________________

______________________________

Date: 1/9/04
Goergen, Barbara J.

From: zzKing, Steve [skiron@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2004 10:07 PM
To: Goergen, Barbara J.
Subject: RE: Attn: Karl Rove

BJ,

Thanks for getting my messenger to Mr. Rove. He called me this evening and we had quite a discussion.

I do want the President to know that I have more than 100 co-signatures on my Official English bill. Just because of the announcement of the subject of tomorrow's speech, I'm getting pressure to move the bill. Up until today I had been telling proponents that I would wait until GWB's re-election before I push the bill. I will listen to the President's speech tomorrow. If it is what it is advertised to be, I will be accelerating my efforts to put Official English on his desk this year.

Thanks,

Steve King

-----Original Message-----
From: Goergen, Barbara J. [mailto:Barbara_J_Goergen@who.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2004 6:57 PM
To: zzKing, Steve
Subject: RE: Attn: Karl Rove

Thanks. I'll make sure he sees it when he returns.

-----Original Message-----
From: zzKing, Steve [mailto:skiron@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2004 6:47 PM
To: Goergen, Barbara J.
Subject: Attn: Karl Rove

Karl,

I am pasting and attaching a letter FYI for President Bush regarding the speech he is scheduled to make on January 7th.

I would appreciate a call at (b)(6) or later at (b)(6)

From my discussion from Chris (whitehouse) Cox, the die is probably cast. If that is the case, the POTUS needs to know that I have made my objections and his proposed policy will produce ranks of Republicans who will be relentless in their efforts to preserve and protect the United States from all enemies, foreign and domestic.

Sincerely,

Steve King,
5th Congressional District, Iowa

########################################################
Mr. Karl Rove
Whitehouse
Washington, D.C.

January 6, 2004

RE: President Bush's Amnesty Speech for January 7th

Dear Karl:

The very definition of what it means to be an American is to strive to make our country a better place than it was when we arrived. I was elected by Iowans to represent them because I embody their beliefs and they have confidence that I will aggressively defend and promote them.

Every nation on this planet, including the United States, was built by immigrants. We are not unique in that respect. We are unique in the respect that we are founded upon the rule of law. The Constitution and the rule of law are the foundation of our nation. A policy by any name that "legalizes" people who have broken our laws is amnesty. Every proposal for amnesty (by any name) moves the law breakers to the front of the line at the expense of those who abide by the law. It is fundamentally wrong to reward law breakers.

Any immigration policy must be devised to promote the economic, cultural, and social well-being of the United States of America. We cannot even begin to be the relief valve for world poverty. We don't need illegal immigrants to do work that Americans won't do. There is no work, historically and currently, in this country that is not done by U.S. citizens.

A policy that caters to Mexican illegals must necessarily include all illegals from anywhere on earth who meet the amnesty standards. Hispanics will not vote Republican like Cubans do. The 2000 election results from southern Texas clearly for tell the story.

I authored a letter to Secretary Ridge last month when he floated the president's trial balloon on amnesty. It is dated December 17, 2003 and is signed by 35 members of Congress......during recess. There are many more who will join this unnecessary fight in opposition to President Bush.

Karl, this issue will split the Republican Party! It is totally unnecessary! It is bound to yield negative results. No matter which side wins, the Democrats will be the winners. Today, I only look like Tom Tancredo. Tomorrow, I will sound like Tom Tancredo as will dozens of the most stalwart conservatives in Congress. As painful as it was, I gave the administration the benefit of the doubt in every vote in Congress. Tomorrow, I begin to give my convictions the voice and the vote that my constituents deserve.

Sincerely,

Steve King
5th Congressional District of Iowa
To: Barry Jackson
From: Strategic Initiatives

Date: 1/9/04

FYI

[ ] Appropriate Action
[ ] Direct Response
[ ] Prepare Response For My Signature
[ ] Per Our Conversation
[ ] Let's Discuss
[ ] Per Your Request
[ ] Please Return
[ ] Deadline
[ ] Other

Comments: ____________________________________________

________________________________________________________
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Date: 1/9/04

To: Susan Ralston
From: Strategic Initiatives Karl Rove

___ FYI
___ Appropriate Action
___ Direct Response
___ Prepare Response For My Signature
___ Per Our Conversation
___ Let's Discuss
___ Per Your Request
___ Please Return
___ Deadline
___ Other

Comments: _______________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________
Dear Karl:

I am sorry to burden you with this memo. But I must invite your attention to the fact that Democrats start to find small issues to criticize the President after capturing Sadam and witnessing the recovery of the national economy. They do not have big issues.

Congressman Mike Honda is organizing a public hearing here in his home district on January 13 to discuss the renewal of the Commission.

If the Executive Order of renewing the Commission can be issued before the 13th, we will preempt their scheme.

We need your help.

Susan has been working hard on this and we want to thank you and her for the assistance.

I look forward to see you on the 8th in Palm Beach.

Always with highest respect and deep appreciations,

Sincerely yours,

DR. JOHN B. TSU, CHAIRMAN
PRESIDENT'S ADVISORY COMMISSION ON
ASIAN AMERICANS & PACIFIC ISLANDERS
1366 MANZANITA DRIVE • MILLBRAE, CA 94030
650.697.1148 (P) • 650.697.7899 (F)
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December 30, 2003

Dr. John B. Tsu, Chairman
President's Advisory Commission On
Asian American & Pacific Islanders
1366 Manzanita Drive
Millbrae, CA 94030

VIA FAXSIMILE and U.S.MAIL

Dear Dr. Tsu:

As the newly-elected Chair of the Congressional Asian Pacific American Caucus (CAPAC), I will be working with our members to focus the attention of Congress on issues of concern to the Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI) community. One of our first actions will be to hold a field hearing on the status of the White House Initiative on Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (the Initiative).

I am writing to invite you to participate in this hearing to provide an update on the status of the Bush Administration's work on the Initiative, plans for the future of the Initiative, and the current standing of the President’s Advisory Commission on Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (the Commission). I also understand that there is a proposal to house the Initiative at the Department of Commerce, rather than the Department of Health and Human Services. Any information and update concerning this and any other proposed changes to the Initiative and Commission would be very much appreciated.

The purpose of the hearing will be to provide background on the Initiative’s focus, and will review its current and future status, as well as take testimony from AAPI community leaders regarding their views on the future of Initiative. The hearing will take place on Tuesday, January 13th from 10:00 a.m. - Noon at the Santa Clara City Council Chambers, 1500 Warburton Avenue, Santa Clara, CA. As Chair of the Commission, your participation and insight will underscore the interest and concern that the Administration has for the AAPI community. If you are not able to attend, I hope that you will designate an appropriate representative or Commissioner in your place.

As you are aware, the Initiative was originally established to advise the President, through the Secretary of Health and Human Services, on the three mandates: To develop, monitor and coordinate federal efforts to improve Asian American and Pacific Islander participation in government programs; to foster research and data collection for Asian American and Pacific Islander populations and sub-populations; and to increase public
and private sector and community involvement in improving the health and well-being of Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders.

The first Commission presented an Interim Report to the President in January of 2001, and focused on five cross-cutting issues that we will examine during the CAPAC field hearing:

- Improving data collection, analysis and dissemination for Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders;
- Ensuring access, especially linguistic access and cultural competence, for Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders;
- Protecting civil rights and equal opportunity for Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders;
- Strengthening and sustaining Asian American and Pacific Islander community capacity;
- And recognizing and including Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in federal programs and services.

I sincerely hope that you will take advantage of this opportunity to speak on the Initiative's progress and future direction. As I mentioned, a distinguished panel of AAPI community leaders, as well as former Commissioners, will also be invited to testify and will focus on the five cross-cutting issues mentioned above. Your input is essential, as we provide critical feedback to the Administration about next steps for the Initiative.

Please contact Jennifer Van der Heide, Chief of Staff, or Bob Sakaniwa, Senior Counsel, in my office at 202-225-2631 to let us know if you or your representative will attend, or if you would like more information.

Thank you in advance for your interest in this important field hearing.

Sincerely,

Michael M. Honda, Chair-Elect
Congressional Asian Pacific American Caucus

Cc: President’s Advisory Commission On Asian Americans & Pacific Islanders
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I'd like to get into how that developed when we talk. Happy New Year.—Ron

ADDITION:
As chairman of the sixteen-member National Economic Council, Friedman provided Bush with advice on the economic impact of proposed programs, and he coordinated administration economic policy, a function similar to what the NSC does in the national security area. The other top member of the economic team, Treasury Secretary John W. Snow, was both a lawyer and an economist who had been chairman and CEO of CSX Corp., the freight railroad. He was recommended by Dina Habib Powell, who became head of presidential personnel after Bush nominated Clay Johnson to be deputy OMB director. Powell, thirty, was a highly-respected and influential White House aide who was known for he candor and—like many in the Bush White House—never appeared in the media. Besides Snow, she recommended William H. Donaldson, co-founder and CEO of Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette, as chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission.
An Arabic speaker who was the daughter of an immigrant from Egypt, Powell had worked for Clay Johnson in the White House as well as for the Republican National Committee and for Representative Dick Armey when he was House majority leader.
"She's not afraid to disagree with the president, albeit respectfully," Clay Johnson said. "He welcomes constructive debate and values those who are willing to engage in it with him. He does not want 'yes' men or women on his team."
Message

From: Rove, Karl C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2003 8:16 AM
To: Ralston, Susan B.
Subject: FW: Setting A Fit Example - CBS News - More Tommy Thompson

put in discuss with Josh

-----Original Message-----
From: Kirk Blalock [mailto:kblalock@fierce-isakowitz.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2003 7:59 AM
To: Rove, Karl C.; Jackson, Barry S.; Westine, Lezlee J.
Cc: Mike Meece
Subject: Setting A Fit Example - CBS News - More Tommy Thompson

See the quote from Thompson below where he says that the law suits are "indirectly" a good thing.......not sure what you can do, but folks are really getting nervous.

Setting A Fit Example
CBSNEWS.com
WASHINGTON, May 19, 2003

It's no secret that obesity is a major problem in the United States. A recent study showed that more is spent on obesity-related illness than on smoking-related illness. *Early Show medical correspondent Dr. Emily Senay* recently caught up with U.S. Secretary of Health and Human Services Tommy Thompson in Washington to see what's being done about it.

First, Thompson shared some statistics: About 60 percent of Americans are overweight or obese, including 15 percent of America's children. "And it's getting worse," he added. "It's almost an epidemic. (We spend) $117 billion a year on obesity-related illness and 300,000 Americans die each year" because of it.

Thompson, concurring with Dr. Senay that the fast-food industry is part of the problem, also said, "and they can be part of the solution. That's the nice part about it. The fact that they have been sued, and the fact that we're talking about this, is really going to start driving them toward offering more choices on their menus. And that's important. More salads, more fruits, and healthier diets."

How far will Thompson go in working with them?

"I will work extremely hard with them," he replied. "And if they don't want to work with me, I will, more than likely, embarrass them for not doing enough and that is going to, I think, cause them to change some of their habits."

What about corporate incentives, or tax incentives to corporations, large corporations, to work with employees?

"You know what I really would like to see? I think that's good. I think we should acknowledge corporations that are doing well," he said. "I also would like to see health insurance companies, you know, and health insurance, to give people credits on their health insurance costs for being healthier. Why don't we get a credit in our health insurance if we live a healthy lifestyle?"

What has he done for his own health, and how has he gotten his staff involved in practicing what the boss preaches?

"I put the whole Department of Health and Human Services on a diet," said Thompson, "and I said, 'You know, if we are going to be the Department Health, we've got to start looking like that Department of Health, and we've got to become healthy.'"

Thompson himself said he has stopped snacking, although he has the occasional bratwurst and cheeseburger.
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He also said he goes 50 pushups, morning and evening, and he walks 10,000 steps a day.

And his own boss, President George W. Bush, sets a high standard for physical fitness.

Said Thompson, "He's in the best physical condition I think he's ever been in. And he will tell you so. I think that's a good example for all Americans to follow. If the president can do it, why can't I?"

Thompson also stressed the
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Goergen, Barbara J.

From: Rove, Karl C.
Sent: Thursday, December 18, 2003 8:00 AM
To: Goergen, Barbara J.
Subject: FW: Sugar opposes CAFTA

-----Original Message-----
From: Jackson, Barry S.
Sent: Thursday, December 18, 2003 7:32 AM
To: Rove, Karl C.; Hobbs, David W.
Cc: Goergen, Barbara J.; Ralston, Susan B.; Schlapp, Matthew A.; Conner, Charles; Dyck, Paul B.; Bearson, Darren W.
Subject: Sugar opposes CAFTA

from the head of the sugar coalition...they're more focused on what's next with continued FTA's rather than what USTR negotiated in CAFTA.

-----Original Message-----
From: CMCHENEY@aol.com [mailto:CMCHENEY@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 6:57 PM
To: ajohnson@ustr.gov; jhafemeister@ustr
Subject: No Subject

Alan and Jason,
We appreciate your hard work and your willingness to listen to us and work with us. You have always been straight forward and honest with us and I wanted to do the same for you. We don't want to slam the door - but we are in a bit of shock this evening. I am sending you the sugar industry press release that is going out this evening. After all your hard work, I know it will be a disappointment.

We have spoken with our growers from both beet and cane sectors and they are disappointed and fearful for their futures, as are their bankers. I have attached and printed below the sugar industry release.

Please feel free to call me if you need more information.
Sincerely,
Carolyn Cheney
202-547-5048

U.S. SUGAR INDUSTRY
2111 Wilson Blvd. Suite 600
Arlington, Va. 22201

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE CONTACT: Joseph Terrell
Wednesday, December 17, 2003 703-351-5055

U.S. SUGAR INDUSTRY REJECTS PROPOSED CAFTA; FAVORS WTO

WASHINGTON – The chairman of the U.S. Sugar Industry group today said the proposed CAFTA announced today is unacceptable to the U.S. sugar industry.

Sugar industry group chairman Carolyn Cheney said, “The additional sugar imports proposed and those
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contemplated in additional bilateral trade agreements will destroy the domestic sugar industry. Those imports will overwhelm an already abundantly supplied market."

She said, "We will have no choice but to oppose CAFTA."

Additionally, Cheney said, "We have insisted that sugar trade issues need to be addressed globally in the World Trade Organization (WTO), not piecemeal in bilateral and regional trade agreements."

She said, "We feel it is appropriate that the Administration has recognized that any reduction in sugar tariffs in bilateral or regional FTAs would have disastrous consequences. But the sugar industry remains deeply concerned about the potential impact of additional import access granted to the CAFTA countries through increased imports on an already oversupplied U.S. market."

Cheney said, "We can’t emphasize strongly enough that every pound of foreign sugar we are forced to import under these agreements means another pound an efficient American farmer can’t produce."

Cheney said, "Moreover, we need to know what the Administration’s intentions are in other prospective FTAs, which involve most of the world’s major sugar exporters. Comparable increases in market access for these countries would result in a near doubling of U.S. imports, an amount equivalent to about 12 to 15 percent of U.S. production." She noted that, by statute, the U.S. sugar marketing allotment program can only avoid taxpayer costs if imports are kept below a certain level.
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Meant to cc you

----- Forwarded Message

From: Mark McKinnon <mmckinnon@pstrategies.com>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 20:32:07 -0600
To: Karl Rove <KR@rove.com>
Cc: Matthew Dowd <mdowd@rnchq.org>, Sara Taylor <staylor@georgewbush.com>, Ken Mehlman <kmehlman@georgewbush.com>
Subject: Filming

KR.

For reasons of sensitivity and practicality, I’m prepared now to wait until after Christmas to do any public filming of the President. The more I think about it, the less inclined I am actually to think we need typical b-roll of the President doing speeches at rallies. People see that all the time. I want to show images, moments that people don’t see all the time. Something new. Something that surprises them. Images that reveal something voters don’t know or don’t expect. Something that connects emotionally. We’ll need some standard speech/rally stuff. But, I’m not as anxious about it as I was.

We do, however, want/need to shoot the President privately. We want to shoot some informal interview pieces. Unscripted. Get to some of that human side of the President. The “cares about you” side. Also, roll off some disclaimers that we’ll need.

You had mentioned at one point the idea of shooting in Crawford over Christmas. Would be a good time. In a good place where the President is relaxed. Could do it in three hours day of his choice.

That, or very early in January at the White House. Early enough so we can use for rough cutting and testing. Can take whole interview and dial test for spikes.

Your thoughts?

McK

----- End of Forwarded Message
Run off and put in dir mtg and in discuss with Dan

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----
From: Jackson, Barry S. <Barry_S._Jackson@who.eop.gov>
To: Rove, Karl C. <Karl_C._Rove@who.eop.gov>; Karl Rove <kr@georgewbush.com>
CC: Goergen, Barbara J. <Barbara_J_Goergen@who.eop.gov>; Ralston, Susan B. <Susan_B_Ralston@who.eop.gov>
Sent: Mon Dec 01 18:52:53 2003
Subject: report from senate leadership retreat

much whining and gnashing of teeth about whether or not the WH has a game plan to sell Medicare, and in a striking irony, the chorus was led by Judd Gregg, wondering if the WH would fail at this communication effort as they had with NCLB

I'll try to get more details, but this is a heads up - I'm sure Hobbs and Ziad will download to you
Free Trade With Central America
Summary of the U.S.-Central America Free Trade Agreement

New Market Access for U.S. Consumer and Industrial Products

- More than 80 percent of U.S. exports of consumer and industrial products to Central America will be duty-free immediately upon entry into force of the Agreement, and 85 percent will be duty-free within five years. All remaining tariffs will be eliminated within ten years.

- Key U.S. exports, such as information technology products, agricultural and construction equipment, paper products, chemicals, and medical and scientific equipment will gain immediate duty-free access to Central America.

- Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua will soon join the WTO’s Information Technology Agreement (ITA), which removes tariff and non-tariff barriers to IT products. Costa Rica and El Salvador are already participants.

- Under the U.S. Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act, many products from Central America already enter the United States duty-free. The CAFTA will consolidate those benefits and make them permanent, so that nearly all consumer and industrial products made in Central America will enter the U.S. duty-free immediately on effectiveness of the agreement.

New Opportunities for U.S. Farmers and Ranchers

- More than half of current U.S. farm exports to Central America will become duty-free immediately, including high quality cuts of beef, cotton, wheat, soybeans, key fruits and vegetables, processed food products, and wine, among others.

- Tariffs on most U.S. farm products will be phased out within 15 years. U.S. farm products that will benefit from improved market access include pork, beef, poultry, rice, fruits and vegetables, corn, processed products and dairy products.

- U.S. farmers and ranchers will have access to Central American countries that is generally better than suppliers in Canada, Europe and South America.

- The U.S. and Central America will work to resolve sanitary and phytosanitary barriers to agricultural trade, in particular problems and delays in food inspection procedures for meat and poultry. Central America will move toward recognizing export eligibility for all plants inspected under the U.S. food safety and inspection system.
Textiles and Apparel

- Textiles and apparel will be duty-free and quota-free immediately if they meet the Agreement’s rule of origin, promoting new opportunities for U.S. and Central American fiber, yarn, fabric and apparel manufacturing. The agreement’s benefits for textiles and apparel will be retroactive to January 1, 2004.

- An unprecedented provision will give duty-free benefits to some apparel made in Central America that contains certain fabrics from NAFTA partners Mexico and Canada. This new provision encourages integration of the North and Central American textile industries, and is a step to prepare for an increasingly competitive global market.

- Apparel containing certain fabrics and materials in “short supply” in the U.S. and Central America may also qualify for duty-free treatment. An expanded list of such “short supply” materials was developed in consultation with industry in the U.S. and Central America. U.S. yarn and fabric will receive reciprocal treatment in Central American apparel entering Mexico and Canada.

- A “de minimis” provision will allow limited amounts of third-country content to go into CAFTA apparel, giving producers in both the US and Central America needed flexibility.

Open Services Markets Across the Region

- The Central American countries will accord substantial market access across their entire services regime, subject to very few exceptions, using the so-called “negative list” approach.

- Central American countries have agreed to dismantle significant distribution barriers. Changes in the “dealer protection regimes” will loosen restrictions that lock U.S. firms into exclusive or inefficient distributor arrangements. Such laws have been used to ban imports of U.S. products when a dispute arose with a local distributor.

- Market access commitments apply across all sectors, including but not limited to:
  - Telecommunications services
  - Financial services, including banking, insurance and securities
  - Distribution services, such as wholesaling, retailing and franchising
  - Express delivery services
  - Computer and related services
  - Audiovisual and entertainment services
  - Energy services
  - Transport services
  - Construction and engineering services
  - Tourism
  - Advertising services
  - Professional services (architects, engineers, accountants, etc.)
  - Environmental services

- U.S. financial service suppliers have full rights to establish subsidiaries, joint ventures or branches for banks and insurance companies.
• Removes most local residency requirements, which had imposed significant barriers to U.S. professionals.

• Central America will allow U.S.-based firms to supply insurance on a cross-border basis, including reinsurance; reinsurance brokerage; marine, aviation and transport (MAT) insurance; and other insurance services.

• Central America will allow U.S.-based firms to offer services cross-border to Central Americans in areas such as financial information and data processing, and financial advisory services. In addition, Central American mutual funds will be able to use foreign-based portfolio managers.

• The commitments in services cover both cross-border supply of services (such as services supplied through electronic means, or through the travel of nationals) as well as the right to invest and establish a local services presence.

• Market access to services is supplemented by requirements for regulatory transparency. Regulatory authorities must use open and transparent administrative procedures, consult with interested parties before issuing regulations, provide advance notice and comment periods for proposed rules, and publish all regulations.

• The financial services chapter includes core obligations of non-discrimination, most-favored nation treatment, and additional market access obligations. It also includes additional provisions on transparency of domestic regulatory regimes.

E-Commerce: Free Trade in the Digital Age

• Central America and the United States agreed to provisions on e-commerce that reflect the issue’s importance in global trade and the importance of supplying services by electronic means as a key part of a vibrant e-commerce environment.

• All Parties committed to non-discriminatory treatment of digital products; agreed not to impose customs duties on such products and to cooperate in numerous policy areas related to e-commerce.

Important New Protections for U.S. Investors in the Region

• The agreement will establish a secure, predictable legal framework for U.S. investors operating in the Central American countries.

• All forms of investment are protected under the Agreement, including enterprises, debt, concessions, contracts and intellectual property.

• U.S. investors enjoy in almost all circumstances the right to establish, acquire and operate investments in the Central American countries on an equal footing with local investors, and with investors of other countries, unless specifically stated otherwise.

• Pursuant to U.S. Trade Promotion Authority, the agreement draws from U.S. legal principles and practices to provide U.S. investors in the Central American countries a basic set of substantive protections that Central American investors currently enjoy under the U.S. legal system.
Among the rights afforded to U.S. investors (consistent with those found in U.S. law) are due process protections and the right to receive a fair market value for property in the event of an expropriation.

Investor rights are backed by an effective, impartial procedure for dispute settlement that is fully transparent. Submissions to dispute panels and panel hearings will be open to the public, and interested parties will have the opportunity to submit their views.

State-of-the-Art Protection for U.S. Trademarks

- Requires a system to resolve disputes about trademarks used in Internet domain names, which is important to prevent "cyber-squatting" with respect to high-value domain names.

- Applies principle of "first-in-time, first-in-right" to trademarks and geographical indications, so that the first person who acquires a right to a trademark or geographical indication is the person who has the right to use it.

- Encourages the development of an on-line system for the registration and maintenance of trademarks, as well as a searchable database.

- Requires transparent procedures for the registration of trademarks, including geographical indications.

Protection for Copyrighted Works in a Digital Economy

- Copyright owners maintain rights over temporary copies of their works on computers, which is important in protecting music, videos, software and text from widespread unauthorized sharing via the Internet.

- Establishes that only authors, composers and other copyright owners have the right to make their work available on-line.

- Ensures extended terms of protection for copyrighted works, including phonograms, consistent with emerging international trends.

- Establishes strong anti-circumvention provisions to prohibit tampering with technologies (like embedded codes on discs) that are designed to prevent piracy and unauthorized distribution over the Internet.

- Ensures that governments use only legitimate computer software, thus setting a positive example for private users.

- Requires rules to prohibit the unauthorized receipt or distribution of encrypted satellite signals, thus preventing piracy of satellite television programming.

- Provides rules for the liability of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) for copyright infringement, reflecting the balance struck in the U.S. Millennium Copyright Act between legitimate ISP activity and the infringement of copyrights.
Patents & Trade Secrets: Stronger Protections

- Provides for the extension of patent terms to compensate for delays in granting the original patent, consistent with U.S. practice.
- Limits the grounds for revoking a patent, thus protecting against arbitrary revocation.
- Clarifies that test data and trade secrets submitted to a government for the purpose of product approval will be protected against unfair commercial use for a period of 5 years for pharmaceuticals and 10 years for agricultural chemicals. Closes potential loopholes to these provisions.
- Requires a system to prevent the marketing of pharmaceutical products that infringe patents.
- Provides protection for newly developed plant varieties.

Tough Penalties for Piracy and Counterfeiting

- Criminalizes end-user piracy, providing strong deterrence against piracy and counterfeiting.
- Requires all Parties to authorize the seizure, forfeiture, and destruction of counterfeit and pirated goods and the equipment used to produce them. Also provides for enforcement against goods-in-transit, to deter violators from using ports or free trade zones to traffic in pirated products. Ex officio action may be taken in border and criminal cases, thus providing more effective enforcement.
- Mandates both statutory and actual damages for copyright infringement and trademark piracy. This serves as a deterrent against piracy, and ensures that monetary damages can be awarded even when it is difficult to assign a monetary value to the violation.

New Access to Government Procurement Contracts

- U.S. suppliers are granted non-discriminatory rights to bid on contracts from Central American government ministries, agencies and departments. Low-value contracts are excluded.
- Covers the purchases of most Central American central government entities, including key ministries and state-owned enterprises.
- Requires fair and transparent procurement procedures, such as advance notice of purchases and timely and effective bid review procedures.
- Ensures that bribery in government procurement is specified as a criminal offense under Central America and U.S. laws.
Groundbreaking Customs Procedures and Rules of Origin

- Comprehensive rules of origin will ensure that only U.S. and Central American goods benefit from the Agreement. Rules are designed to be easier to administer.

- Agreement requires transparency and efficiency in administering customs procedures, including the CAFTA rules of origin. Central American countries commit to publish laws and regulations on the Internet, and will ensure procedural certainty and fairness.

- Both parties agree to share information to combat illegal trans-shipment of goods. In addition, the Agreement contains language designed to facilitate the rapid clearance through customs of express delivery shipments.

Protection and Promotion of Worker Rights

- CAFTA fully meets the labor objectives set out by Congress in the Trade Promotion Act of 2002 and makes labor obligations a part of the core text of the trade agreement.

- Includes unprecedented provisions that commit CAFTA countries to provide workers with improved access to procedures that protect their rights.

- CAFTA goes beyond Chile and Singapore FTAs through a 3-part cooperative approach to improve working conditions by:

1. Ensuring effective enforcement of existing labor laws.
   - Agreement requires that all parties shall effectively enforce their own domestic labor laws, and this obligation is enforceable through the Agreement's dispute settlement procedures.

2. Working with ILO to improve existing labor laws and enforcement.
   - International Labor Organization (ILO) found that Central American nations have laws on the books that are largely consistent with ILO core labor standards. Central American governments are now working to address gaps between existing laws and ILO recommendations.

   - For example, in response to the recent ILO report, several Central American countries have already drafted new legislation and regulations, dramatically increased funding for their Labor Ministries, expanded the number of labor inspectors, and streamlined procedures for creating unions.

   - Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala and Nicaragua have each carried out major revisions of their labor codes over the last decade.

   - All parties reaffirm their obligations as members of the ILO, and shall strive to ensure that their domestic laws provide for labor standards consistent with internationally recognized labor principles.

   - Agreement clearly states that it is inappropriate to weaken or reduce domestic labor protections to encourage trade or investment.
3. **Building local capacity to improve worker rights.**

- CAFTA includes a groundbreaking cooperation mechanism to promote labor rights through specialized consultations and targeted training programs in the areas of child labor, public awareness of worker rights, and labor inspection systems.

- Public participation, including the input of worker and employer organizations, is called for in the design and implementation of technical cooperation activities.

- As part of the CAFTA process, the U.S. Department of Labor has allocated $6.7 million to educate Central Americans on core labor standards and to improve the administrative capacity of the CAFTA countries in labor matters.

- The U.S. Department of Labor will also support efforts aimed at reducing exploitative child labor. Through the International Labor Organization’s program to eliminate the worst forms of child labor, U.S.-funded projects will remove children from hazardous and exploitative work and provide them with educational opportunities.

**Commitments and Cooperation to Protect the Environment**

- Agreement fully meets the environmental objectives set out by Congress in TPA. Environmental obligations are part of the core text of the trade agreement.

- CAFTA goes beyond Chile and Singapore FTAs in seeking to develop groundbreaking new provisions that would:
  - Develop a robust public submissions process to ensure that views of civil society are appropriately considered.
  - Envision benchmarking of environmental cooperation activities and input from international organizations in evaluating progress.
  - Enhance the mutual supportiveness of multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) and the free trade agreement.

- Agreement commits parties to effectively enforce their own domestic environmental laws, and this obligation is enforceable through the Agreement’s dispute settlement procedures.

- There is also an environmental cooperation agreement that provides a framework for undertaking environmental capacity building in the CAFTA countries and establishes an Environmental Cooperation Commission.

- This is the first time that the cooperation agreement has been concluded at the time of the FTA—the Chile and Singapore cooperation packages were negotiated after those FTAs were concluded. The Parties will now work on developing a work plan for cooperative activities.

- The environmental cooperation agreement identifies a number of priorities:
  - Strengthening the capacity to develop, implement and enforce environmental laws;
  - Promoting incentives to encourage environmental protection;
  - Protection of endangered species;
  - Promotion of clean production technologies;
Building capacity to promote public participation in the environmental decision-making process.

- Both parties commit to establish high levels of environmental protection, and to not weaken or reduce environmental laws to attract trade and investment.

- Agreement also promotes a comprehensive approach to environmental protection. Procedural guarantees that ensure fair, equitable and transparent proceedings for the administration and enforcement of environmental laws are married for the first time with provisions that promote voluntary, market-based mechanisms to protect the environment.

Dispute Settlement: Tools to Enforce the CAFTA

- Core obligations of the Agreement, including labor and environment provisions, are subject to the dispute settlement provisions of the Agreement.

- Dispute panel procedures set high standards of openness and transparency:
  - Open public hearings;
  - Public release of legal submissions by parties;
  - Special labor or environment expertise for disputes in these areas;
  - Opportunities for interested third parties to submit views.

- Emphasis is on promoting compliance through consultation, joint action plans and trade-enhancing remedies.

- An innovative enforcement mechanism includes monetary penalties to enforce commercial, labor and environmental obligations of the trade agreement.

Trade Capacity-Building: Development and Trade Together

- In a first for any free trade agreement, CAFTA will include a Committee on Trade Capacity Building, in recognition of the importance of such assistance in promoting economic growth, reducing poverty, and adjusting to liberalized trade.

- The trade capacity building committee will build on work done during the negotiations to enhance partnerships with international institutions (Inter-American Development Bank, World Bank, Organization of American States, ECLAC, and the Central American Bank for Economic Integration), non-governmental organizations, and the private sector.

- This year, in response to the needs identified by the Central American countries, the U.S. Government provided more than $61 million in trade capacity building (TCB) assistance. Since the launch of negotiations, the Inter-American Development Bank has approved more than $320 million in CAFTA-related operations.

- Private and non-government organizations joined in the effort on trade capacity building. The Humane Society of the United States (HSUS) developed “The CAFTA Alliance” partnership to promote environmentally sustainable and humane agricultural programs, as well as the protection of wildlife and habitat. The City of New Orleans and the State of Louisiana worked with local universities and entrepreneurs to establish “Idea Village International,” an institute to train entrepreneurs in Central America.
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Idea of the Week: Damage Control

It's been a very strange week for Gov. Howard Dean. It was supposed to be the week in which he repositioned himself as a "centrist" and addressed the light-on-issues nature of his campaign with two big speeches on foreign and domestic policy. Instead, as DLC President Bruce Reed told The New York Times: "One day Dean says Americans are no better off with Saddam out of power; now he seems to be saying Democrats are better off with Bill Clinton out of power."

The latter utterance was at the heart of Gov. Dean's domestic policy address in New Hampshire yesterday. As with the earlier statement about the relative insignificance of Saddam's capture, the disrespecting of the Clinton legacy wasn't some sort of ad lib to reporters. In fact, it was in the key section of his prepared text:

"Some Democrats have accepted the Republican notion that the Social Contract cannot be preserved, let alone made stronger.

"While Bill Clinton said that the era of big government is over, I believe we must enter a new era for the Democratic party -- not one where we join Republicans and aim simply to limit the damage they inflict on working families.

"I reject the notion that damage control must be our credo."

It's never been a secret that some (though hardly all) people in the left wing of the Democratic Party think this way about the Clinton administration and its accomplishments, believing that Clinton accepted the basic premises of conservatism and simply made their implementation a little slower and a little less harsh. And it's also no secret that Gov. Dean has spent much of his campaign harshly attacking Democratic centrists for not making reflexive, unthinking, 100 percent opposition to George W. Bush's policies their only guiding principle. This speech pulls together these two strands of self-delusion, and appears to establish Gov. Dean as the candidate who wants to take the Democratic Party not forward to some exciting project of revitalization, but backwards to its pre-Clinton posture.

But Dean's speech definitely gives us a new way of looking at the Clinton years.

22 million new jobs -- damage control!

Trillion dollar budget surpluses. The first real gains in middle-class income in three decades. Welfare rolls cut in half as poverty rates declined. Eight straight years of lower violent crime rates. The lowest recorded unemployment rates for minorities in history. The highest
homeownership rates in history. The smallest federal bureaucracy since the Kennedy administration. Millions of innovative new small businesses, many owned by women and minorities.

This is "damage control?"

If that's what the Clinton record represents, then count us as vastly preferring -- yea, praying for -- a lot more "damage control" in the future. What Gov. Dean seems to miss entirely is that President Clinton's accomplishments were not based on some sort of easy accommodation with Republicans, but on a modernization of the progressive political tradition to adjust to new times and to address unnecessary Democratic weaknesses that were feeding a conservative upsurge -- an upsurge that would have totally, not just partially, dominated the American political system in the 1990s had Bill Clinton not come along.

Believe it or not, Gov. Dean made a second statement yesterday that reflected his outrageous habit of lumping centrist Democrats and conservative Republicans together, while compounding his earlier unfortunate remarks about Saddam: "The capture of one bad man does not mean that this president and the Washington Democrats [Deanie code for everybody in the party other than the Doctor and his supporters] can declare victory in the war on terror." Who's "declaring victory in the war on terror?" And can Gov. Dean really not tell the difference between the foreign policy views of other Democrats, and those of the Bush administration?

Maybe not, since he seems to have trouble distinguishing the "damage control" of the Clinton years with the dismal record of George W. Bush. If Gov. Dean's New Social Contract can promise a fraction of Bill Clinton's progressive accomplishments, especially for the unprivileged citizens Democrats are supposed to care about most, then he'd better start proving it, instead of undermining the best evidence Democrats have for their ability to govern America far better than Bush.
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Martinez wasn't 'handpicked,' Bush maintains

By John Kennedy
Tallahassee Bureau
Posted December 18 2003

Tallahassee · Gov. Jeb Bush said Wednesday that former federal housing secretary Mel Martinez is not the "handpicked candidate" of the Bush White House in Florida's hotly contested Republican primary for U.S. Senate.

Bush said he has had a couple of conversations with his brother, President George W. Bush, and several exchanges with White House political strategist Karl Rove on Martinez.

"I know my brother has deep respect for Mel; they're friends, just as I am with Mel," Bush said. "But ... they're not endorsing him, they're not picking him. He's not the handpicked candidate."

Martinez, the lone Cuban-American in the president's Cabinet, left office last week with plans to return to Orlando, where he is expected to announce his Senate candidacy next month.

Martinez has been widely seen in Republican circles as a White House favorite, having been approached earlier this year by Rove to run for the seat held by Democrat Bob Graham. At the time, Martinez turned back Rove's pitch. But with Graham not planning to seek re-election, Martinez is poised to jump into a Republican field already crowded with rival candidates.

"In this case, the campaign has already commenced," Bush said. "It would be completely inappropriate for the White House to be engaged, and I've been given every assurance by Karl that that's the position of the White House."

Republicans hold a narrow 51-48 majority in the U.S. Senate, with one Democratic-leaning independent. With Democratic incumbents not seeking re-election in South Carolina, North Carolina, Georgia, Louisiana and Florida, the Southeast has emerged as the biggest...
battleground for control of the Senate.

Among those states, Florida is where the Republican grip is strongest.
Election 2004

Jeb Bush: President won't tout Martinez

The governor says the White House has promised to stay neutral on GOP rivals for Bob Graham's Senate seat.

By STEVE BOUSQUET, Times Staff Writer
Published December 18, 2003

TALLAHASSEE - President Bush's top political adviser, Karl Rove, gave personal assurances that the White House will not aid Mel Martinez in his bid for U.S. Senate, Gov. Jeb Bush said Wednesday.

"The campaign has already commenced," Bush said in a year-end interview. "It would be completely inappropriate for the White House to be engaged, and I have been given every assurance by Karl that that's the position of the White House. Not just to me, but to everybody."

The governor's comments added new details to his contacts with the administration following news reports in mid-November that Martinez was being encouraged by the White House to quit as secretary of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to run for Senate.

"When it came out, I wanted to get guidance and just an understanding of what Karl's view of this was," Bush said. "I had several conversations with him, and with my brother when he came down here. ... They're not endorsing him. They're not picking him. He's not the handpicked candidate."

Bush's remarks are the strongest signal yet to Republican campaign donors that Martinez's candidacy does not carry even an implied presidential endorsement. Rival candidates worry that their fundraising could be hampered by perceptions that Martinez, a former elected Orange County chairman, is the White House favorite.

Martinez, who served for three years on the president's Cabinet, resigned last week but has not yet announced his bid for the Republican Senate nomination.
He will join a crowded Republican field that includes former U.S. Rep. Bill McCollum, House Speaker Johnnie Byrd, state Sen. Daniel Webster and Miami lawyer Larry Klayman. Bush must deal closely with Byrd on major legislation in 2004, and he has been close to Webster for years.

Gov. Bush also dismissed as inaccurate news reports that he was angry at the White House for not discussing Martinez's political plans in advance. "No acrimony," Bush said. "No frustration."
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